

>>> <Goodgold2@aol.com> 03/31/04 11:38PM >>>
March 30, 2004

Justices of the Michigan Supreme Court
Clerk's Office
PO Box 30052
Lansing, MI 48909

Thank you for this opportunity to allow me to express comments on the
Proposed Amendments to court rules Supreme Court ADM File No. 2003-04.

Regarding the 6.502 Motion For Relief From Judgement. Everyone knows that the Michigan Court System is not perfect, that is why it is hard to understand why this Court system is considering such changes that are so unfair in our many attempts in trying to improve it. The Proposed Amendment to MCR 6.610 is clearly the most unjust and unfair of them all. How can a Court system participate in allowing Defense Attorneys to be set up for failure? How can the Court system deny or deprive a defendant any tool necessary to defend and/or adjust their situation when it is in accordance with the law? How is it fair to solely rely on a previous judge sentencing. Are all judges perfect? How can you not look at all the evidence when you are the last hope of justice?

Appointments to the Supreme Court is the highest public trust that can come to a lawyer in the State of Michigan. Each decision this court makes will touch the lives of every citizen of the state. That truth is a precious responsibility which each member of the judiciary assumes. The words "Justice for all" means nothing in Michigan? To consider passing laws to purposely withhold information, take advantage of the poor, and to solely side with the prosecutor's office is unjust. Sometimes there is a thin line between theories and fairy tales, and then there's the truth. I thought it was the Michigan Supreme Court responsibility was to be fair and put great effort into distinguishing right from wrong.

Knowing that no court system, no police department, no correctional system, and/or not even judges or attorneys are perfect, how can the Michigan Supreme court allow such strict and unfair deadlines with all of the problems that are within these state government departments. Of course, by eliminating rules of evidence and the 4th Amendment protection from preliminary examinations will just totally eliminates the main purpose of a preliminary examination. If I can realize it, I'm more than positive you can realize it. Limiting page amounts compared to a saving or changing a person's life? Why go through the procedures if you're going to hand the prosecutor's office a guaranteed win without even looking at all the facts? Is it legal? Even judges make mistakes.

Why would the Michigan Supreme Court be so unfair when they are the last hope of justice for all Michigan citizens? Everyone should have a fair chance at

justice. Please make more of an effort for the truth.

Sincerely,
Anise Austin
goodgold2@aol.com
Southfield, Michigan